

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/4179.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. The District Manager, Hafed, Jind.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI V. P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
AMBALA CITY (HARYANA)

Reference No. 134 of 1984

(Old No. 89 of 1981)

between

SHRI ZILE SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF THE DISTRICT
MANAGER, HAFED, JIND,

Present—

None for the workman.
None for the respondent.

AWARD

The Hon'ble Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred,—vide clause (c) sub section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak between Shri Zile Singh, workman and the management of the District Manager, Hafed Jind.

The term of the reference are as under:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Zile Singh workman was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled to?

Workman Zile Singh alleged that he served the department but his services were terminated in contravention of section 25(f) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. He has prayed for re-instatement with continuity in service with full back wages.

Notice was issued to respondent management. Respondent contested the case. Thereafter parties sought date for compromise. To-day the case was fixed for presence of parties, whether they have patched up the matter or not but none of the parties appeared to contest the case. Hence the reference is dismissed in the default. File be consigned to record room.

Dated the 25th April, 1985.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

Endorsement No. 1106, dated 1st May, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/4275.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Jagdeep Electrical Engineering Company, Industrial Area, Yamunanagar.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI V. P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
AMBALA (HARYANA)

Reference No. 390 of 1984

(Old No. 374 of 1983)

SHRI HANS RAJ, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF MESSRS JAGDEEP
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING COMPANY, INDUSTRIAL AREA, YAMUNANAGAR

Present:

Shri Hans Raj workman in person.
Shri Amrit Lal Sharma, for respondent.

AWARD

The Hon'ble Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred,—vide clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad between Shri Hans Raj, workman and the Management of Messrs Jagdeep Electricals Engineering Company, Industrial Area, Yamunanagar (Ambala). The terms of the reference are as under :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Hans Raj workman was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled to?

Shri Hans Raj workman alleged that he was in the service of respondent-management for the last 10 years and used to get Rs. 400 P.M. He submitted resignation on 27th July, 1983 which was expired on 8th August, 1983 inspite of the withdrawal of resignation before the expiry date, management terminated his services. Order of termination of service is illegal and unjustified.

Notice was served upon the management. Shri Amrit Lal Sharma represented the management Parties arrived at compromise. Their statements have been recorded. Shri Hans Raj stated that he has compromised the dispute and shall receive Rs. 21,000 within a week in full and final settlement. Nothing remains due towards management. He has waved his right of re-employment. The reference is disposed of as compromised. I pass my award regarding the dispute in hand accordingly.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Dated, the 3rd May, 1985.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court,
Ambala.

Endorsement No. 1219, dated the 11th May, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court,
Ambala.

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/4276.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s National Fertilizer Ltd., Panipat (Haryana)

IN THE COURT OF SHRI V. P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
AMBALA AT AMBALA CITY (HARYANA):—

Reference No. 2 of 1985

between

SHRI RAM SARIKHAN, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF
M/S NATIONAL FERTILIZER LTD., PANIPAT (HARYANA)

★ Present—

Shri Raghubir Singh, for workman.

None for the respondent.

AWARD

The Hon'ble Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred,—vide clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute between Shri

Ram Sarikhan workman and the management of M/s. National Fertilizer Ltd., Panipat. The terms of the reference are as under:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Sarikhan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled to?

2. Shri Ram Sarikhan workman alleged that he was in the service of respondent-management. His services were terminated in contravention of Section 25 (F) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. He has prayed for re-instatement with continuity in service with full back wages. Respondent was served. Shri Surinder Kaushal represented the management. To-day the case was fixed for replication and issues. Workman volunteered make statement which was recorded. Workman stated that he has compromised the dispute with the management in full and final settlement of his claim, so the reference is disposed of accordingly as compromised.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

Dated the 6th May, 1985.

Endst. No. 1221, dated 4th May, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

No. 9/5/84-6 Lab./4278.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Anil Udyog, Chhachrauli (Jagadhri):—

IN THE COURT OF SHRI V.P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
AMBALA (HARYANA)

Reference Nos. 404 to 411 of 1984

(Old Nos. 48 to 55 of 1983)

between

S/SHRI RAJ KUMAR, JAI SINGH, BALBIR SINGH, RULDA RAM, PALA RAM, CHURU, JAI SINGH AND JOGINDER, WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF MESSRS ANIL UDYOG, CHHACHRAULI (JAGADHRI)

Present:—

None for workman.

None for respondent.

AWARD

The Hon'ble Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred,—vide clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following disputes to the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad between S/Shri Raj Kumar, Jai Singh, Balbir Singh, Rulda Ram, Pala Ram, Churu, Jai Singh and Joginder, workmen and the Management of Messrs Anil Udyog, Chhachrauli (Jagadhri). The terms of the references are as under:—

“Whether the termination of services of S/Shri Raj Kumar, Jai Singh, Balbir Singh, Rulda Ram, Pala Ram, Churu, Jai Singh and Joginder, workmen were justified and in order? If not to, what relief are they entitled to?”

On creation of Court at Ambala these references were received by transfer. Workmen alleged that they were in service of respondent management. Their services were terminated in contravention of section 25(F) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. They have prayed for reinstatement with continuity in service with full back wages.

Notices were issued to respondents. Respondents served. In spite of service did not appear to contest the references. The case was fixed for 3rd May, 1985, on that day neither workman nor his authorised representative nor respondent appeared. Hence all the references are dismissed in default.

One copy of this order be placed on each reference.

Dated 3rd May, 1985

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court. Ambala.

Endst. No. 1223, dated, 11th May, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

The 30th May, 1985

No. 9/5/84-6 Lab./4032.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s (i) Ram Lagan Contractor C/o M/s Vardhman Spinning and General Mills Ltd., (Rolling Mill Division), Plot No. 264, Sector 24 Faridabad (ii) M/s Vardhman Spinning and General Mills Ltd., Rolling Mills Division Plot 264/24-Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI R. N. BATRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 538/1983

between

SHRI TERSHU WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S RAM LAGAN
CONTRACTOR C/O M/S VARDHMAN SPINNING AND GENERAL MILLS LTD.,
(ROLLING MILL DIVISION), PLOT NO. 264, SECTOR 24, FARIDABAD (II)
M/S VARDHMAN SPINNING AND GENERAL MILLS LTD., ROLLING MILLS
DIVISION PLOT 264/24, FARIDABAD

Present—

None.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between Shri Tershu workman and the management of M/s (i) Ram Lagan Contractor C/o M/s Vardhman Spinning and General Mills Ltd., (Rolling Mill Division) Plot No. 264, Sector, 24, Faridabad (ii) M/s Vardhman Spinning and General Mills Ltd., Rolling Mills Division Plot 264/24, Faridabad, to this Tribunal for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Tershu was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

2. Notices were issued to both the parties, It may be mentioned that on the last date of hearing, none appeared on behalf of both the parties even though they were represented previously and as such

ex parte proceedings were ordered against them. It appears that both the parties are not interested in the reference. The award is passed accordingly.

Dated the 17th April, 1985.

R. N. BATRA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 332, dated the 18th April, 1985

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

R. N. BATRA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/4150.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Belmont Rubber Industries, 58-B, N. I. T., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI R. N. BATRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 432/1983

between

SHRI PARAS NATH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S BELMOUNT RUBBER
INDUSTRIES, 58-B, N. I. T. FARIDABAD

Present:—

Shri Manohar Lal, for the workman.
Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management

AWARD

1. In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between Shri Paras Nath workman and the management of M/s Belmont Rubber Industries, 58-B, N.I.T., Faridabad, to this Tribunal, for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Paras Nath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

2. Notices were issued to both the parties. On the last date of hearing, Shri R. C. Sharma, representative of the Management, stated that the dispute between the management and the workman had already been settled.—vide settlement Ex. M-1 and that the workman had already received Rs 1700 in full and final settlement of his claim,—vide receipt Ex. M-2 and had relinquished his rights of reinstatement etc. and that no dispute was now left between the parties. He further stated that the documents Ex. M-1 and M-2, were correct. Shri Manohar Lal Representative of the workman, stated that he had heard the above statement, which was correct. In view of the testimony of Shri R. C. Sharma, representative of the Management and Shri Manohar Lal representative of the workman and recitals made in the documents Ex. M-1 and M-2, the dispute between the parties stands settled. The award is passed accordingly.

Dated the 10th May, 1985.

R. N. BATRA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 394, dated the 11th May, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

R. N. BATRA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.